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## Executive Summary

The Senior Executive Service (SES) is comprised of the men and women charged with leading the continuing transformation of our Federal Government. This dedicated corps of executives shares a commitment to public service and a set of democratic values grounded in the fundamental ideals of the Constitution. As the leaders of our Federal civilian workforce, Senior Executives strive to create a more citizen-centered, result-oriented Federal Government. To recognize the achievements and contributions to mission made by their executives, agencies are authorized to recognize and reward SES members using their performance-oriented pay systems.

This report reflects performance ratings, pay, and awards data resulting from the application of each agency's SES appraisal system during Fiscal Year (FY) 2015. The tables in this report do not include agency and Governmentwide data on pay, performance or awards received by nonSES Federal employees, including General Schedule and Senior Level/Scientific or Professional (SL/ST) employees. Information on performance awards for non-SES Federal employees is presented in a different report, also issued annually by the U.S. Office of Personnel Management (OPM), entitled Federal Awards Statistics.

The report at issue indicates that even in a tight budgetary environment during FY 2015, where Federal agencies did not have great flexibility to differentiate pay, agencies still made distinctions in SES performance and pay (including in both types of performance-based SES pay - annual pay adjustments and performance awards). The report presents a number of important findings relating to FY 2015 executive performance ratings and pay, included in the following summary of the key data components of the report:

- Agencies submitted rating and pay data for 7,661 SES members (including for SES members in Offices of Inspector General (OIG)). Agencies rated 92.2 percent of SES members, with 49.7 percent of those rated at the highest level (some executives were not rated because they either retired or were hired at the end of the appraisal period and had not worked long enough to be rated).
- Data for OIG SES members are included in "ALL OTHERS" and "GOVERNMENTWIDE" categories in Tables 1-5 and 7 of this report. The Inspector General Reform Act of 2008 established OIGs as separate agencies for all SES issues.
- Career members comprised 90.0 percent of the total SES population. Agencies rated 94.3 percent of their career SES members, with 48.9 percent of those receiving the highest rating level.
- Table 1 is a summary of the number and percentage of career SES members who received a performance rating at the highest available performance level for their FY 2015 performance. This table reflects a small net increase of 1.0 percentage point in FY 2015 for the percent of career SES members rated at the highest level compared to the previous year.
- Table 2 displays performance rating data for all SES members. The data reflect a net increase of 1.1 percentage points in FY 2015 for the number of SES members rated at the highest level compared to the previous year.
- Table 3 summarizes FY 2015 career SES member compensation distribution by performance rating level. The data indicate that, on average, higher-performing SES members receive higher payments based on performance. Such pay includes all payments based on a summary performance rating, which includes pay adjustments and performance awards.
- Table 4 shows the average salary and average salary adjustment for all SES members. The data indicate that, Governmentwide, the average salary adjustment for all SES members in FY 2015 decreased by a net of 0.1 percentage points from the previous year.
- Table 5 summarizes the percentage of career executives who received performance awards, as well as the average award amount granted. Governmentwide, the average performance award in FY 2015 increased by $\$ 198$ from the previous year, and the number of SES members receiving a performance award in FY 2015 increased by 2.8 percentage points from the previous year.
- Table 6 lists the separate Pearson correlation coefficients by agency for pay adjustments and performance awards. OPM uses these metrics as indicators of the strength of the relationship between executive performance ratings and subsequent ratings-based pay increases and between ratings and performance awards. The Table 6 notation provides a more in-depth description of the Pearson correlation coefficient and its meaning, and it explains that the Pearson correlation coefficient is just one tool OPM uses to analyze agency ratings, pay and awards data as OPM recognizes there might be environmental factors that may limit agencies' flexibility in distributing pay and awards.
- Table 7 summarizes the number and percentage of career executives who received performance awards, as well as the average award amount granted, and the average performance award amount as a percent of aggregate compensation (i.e., rating-based pay adjustments, performance awards and individual contribution awards, and Presidential Rank awards) of career executives. The table shows that agencies are rewarding SES members who meet or exceed their performance expectations, at or below the awards limitation (4.8 percent of aggregate career SES salaries). Governmentwide, the amount of performance awards as a percent of aggregate compensation increased by two tenths of a percentage point (0.2\%).
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TABLE 1

Performance Ratings for
Career SES Members
FY 2014 - FY 2015

| AGENCY | FY 2014 |  | FY 2015 |  | Net Change in Percentage Points FY 2014 - FY 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Career SES Rated | Percent at Highest Level | Total Career SES Rated | Percent at Highest Level |  |
| AGRICULTURE | 280 | 46.1\% | 299 | 48.2\% | 2.1\% |
| AID | 22 | 54.5\% | 23 | 69.6\% | 15.1\% |
| COMMERCE | 249 | 47.4\% | 258 | 47.7\% | 0.3\% |
| DEFENSE | 1,076 | 37.6\% | 1,111 | 35.5\% | -2.1\% |
| EDUCATION | 57 | 56.1\% | 60 | 66.7\% | 10.6\% |
| ENERGY | 363 | 46.0\% | 379 | 44.6\% | -1.4\% |
| EPA | 239 | 40.2\% | 241 | 39.8\% | -0.4\% |
| GSA | 61 | 21.3\% | 73 | 21.9\% | 0.6\% |
| HHS | 326 | 44.8\% | 339 | 44.5\% | -0.3\% |
| DHS | 503 | 57.7\% | 495 | 63.6\% | 5.9\% |
| HUD | 74 | 35.1\% | 82 | 35.4\% | 0.3\% |
| INTERIOR | 194 | 56.7\% | 195 | 58.5\% | 1.8\% |
| JUSTICE* | 356 | 81.2\% | 345 | 80.6\% | -0.6\% |
| LABOR | 137 | 48.9\% | 143 | 55.9\% | 7.0\% |
| NASA | 370 | 41.4\% | 362 | 45.3\% | 3.9\% |
| NRC | 130 | 28.5\% | 138 | 35.5\% | 7.0\% |
| NSF | 63 | 63.5\% | 67 | 76.1\% | 12.6\% |
| OMB | 60 | 33.3\% | 61 | 36.1\% | 2.8\% |
| OPM | 44 | 81.8\% | 45 | 13.3\% | -68.5\% |
| SBA | 32 | 53.1\% | 31 | 58.1\% | 5.0\% |
| SSA | 129 | 38.0\% | 125 | 45.6\% | 7.6\% |
| STATE | 144 | 92.4\% | 142 | 95.8\% | 3.4\% |
| TRANSPORTATION | 172 | 46.5\% | 183 | 55.7\% | 9.2\% |
| TREASURY | 390 | 46.2\% | 388 | 52.6\% | 6.4\% |
| VA | 321 | 16.2\% | 312 | 10.9\% | -5.3\% |
| ALL OTHERS | 586 | 61.1\% | 603 | 60.9\% | -0.2\% |
| GOVERNMENT | 6,378 | 47.9\% | 6,500 | 48.9\% | 1.0\% |

*Data for Justice does not include FBI-DEA SES members, which are excluded from the SES under 5 U.S.C. 3132(a)(1)

TABLE 2

| Performance Ratings for Career, Noncareer and Limited Term SES Members FY 2014 - FY 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 2014 |  | FY 2015 |  | Net Change in Percentage Points FY 2014 - FY 2015 |
| AGENCY | Total SES Rated | Percent at Highest Level | Total SES Rated | Percent at Highest Level |  |
| AGRICULTURE | 316 | 48.4\% | 334 | 50.9\% | 2.5\% |
| AID | 26 | 57.7\% | 27 | 66.7\% | 9.0\% |
| COMMERCE | 281 | 45.2\% | 298 | 36.3\% | -8.9\% |
| DEFENSE | 1,148 | 36.9\% | 1,178 | 34.6\% | -2.3\% |
| EDUCATION | 72 | 58.3\% | 75 | 66.7\% | 8.4\% |
| ENERGY | 400 | 46.8\% | 408 | 45.6\% | -1.2\% |
| EPA | 265 | 36.2\% | 267 | 36.0\% | -0.2\% |
| GSA | 65 | 20.0\% | 77 | 20.8\% | 0.8\% |
| HHS | 369 | 43.9\% | 352 | 45.5\% | 1.6\% |
| DHS | 544 | 59.0\% | 541 | 64.5\% | 5.5\% |
| HUD | 88 | 34.1\% | 95 | 38.9\% | 4.8\% |
| INTERIOR | 222 | 59.9\% | 229 | 62.9\% | 3.0\% |
| JUSTICE* | 397 | 83.1\% | 403 | 83.1\% | 0.0\% |
| LABOR | 161 | 54.0\% | 161 | 59.6\% | 5.6\% |
| NASA | 384 | 41.7\% | 370 | 45.1\% | 3.4\% |
| NRC | 130 | 28.5\% | 138 | 35.5\% | 7.0\% |
| NSF | 70 | 61.4\% | 75 | 77.3\% | 15.9\% |
| OMB | 75 | 30.7\% | 75 | 29.3\% | -1.4\% |
| OPM | 55 | 80.0\% | 50 | 18.0\% | -62.0\% |
| SBA | 43 | 55.8\% | 39 | 46.2\% | -9.6\% |
| SSA | 136 | 39.7\% | 136 | 47.1\% | 7.4\% |
| STATE | 173 | 79.2\% | 172 | 84.9\% | 5.7\% |
| TRANSPORTATION | 186 | 48.4\% | 192 | 57.3\% | 8.9\% |
| TREASURY | 420 | 49.5\% | 421 | 55.6\% | 6.1\% |
| VA | 329 | 16.1\% | 318 | 11.6\% | -4.5\% |
| ALL OTHERS | 619 | 62.7\% | 634 | 62.0\% | -0.7\% |
| GOVERNMENT | 6,974 | 48.6\% | 7,065 | 49.7\% | 1.1\% |

[^0]

[^1]TABLE 4

Salaries for Career, Noncareer and Limited Term SES Members
FY 2014 - FY 2015

| AGENCY | FY 2014 |  |  | FY 2015 |  |  | Percentage <br> Point Change FY14-FY15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Rate of Basic Pay Before Salary Adjustment | Average Salary Adjustment | Average Salary Adjustment as Percent of Basic Pay Before Adjustment | Average Rate of Basic Pay Before Salary Adjustment | Average Salary Adjustment | Average Salary Adjustment as Percent of Basic Pay Before Adjustment |  |
| AGRICULTURE | \$168,224 | \$3,283 | 2.0\% | \$171,340 | \$3,574 | 2.1\% | 0.1\% |
| AID | \$167,313 | \$1,765 | 1.1\% | \$167,985 | \$3,353 | 2.0\% | 0.9\% |
| COMMERCE | \$168,512 | \$3,391 | 2.0\% | \$170,524 | \$2,995 | 1.8\% | -0.2\% |
| DEFENSE | \$167,548 | \$1,578 | 0.9\% | \$168,075 | \$1,786 | 1.1\% | 0.2\% |
| EDUCATION | \$170,036 | \$2,171 | 1.3\% | \$171,689 | \$2,283 | 1.3\% | 0.0\% |
| ENERGY | \$170,746 | \$3,226 | 1.9\% | \$173,338 | \$3,017 | 1.7\% | -0.2\% |
| EPA | \$168,772 | \$2,194 | 1.3\% | \$170,341 | \$2,816 | 1.7\% | 0.4\% |
| GSA | \$164,273 | \$1,263 | 0.8\% | \$165,736 | \$1,713 | 1.0\% | 0.2\% |
| HHS | \$171,010 | \$2,921 | 1.7\% | \$173,975 | \$3,493 | 2.0\% | 0.3\% |
| DHS | \$166,515 | \$3,555 | 2.1\% | \$170,111 | \$3,032 | 1.8\% | -0.3\% |
| HUD | \$173,872 | \$2,520 | 1.4\% | \$174,502 | \$661 | 0.4\% | -1.0\% |
| INTERIOR | \$163,158 | \$4,453 | 2.7\% | \$166,668 | \$4,112 | 2.5\% | -0.2\% |
| JUSTICE* | \$171,961 | \$3,400 | 2.0\% | \$175,017 | \$2,940 | 1.7\% | -0.3\% |
| LABOR | \$168,609 | \$4,100 | 2.4\% | \$170,857 | \$3,846 | 2.3\% | -0.1\% |
| NASA | \$169,057 | \$2,669 | 1.6\% | \$171,603 | \$2,172 | 1.3\% | -0.3\% |
| NRC | \$168,510 | \$2,005 | 1.2\% | \$169,931 | \$2,112 | 1.2\% | 0.0\% |
| NSF | \$174,550 | \$1,983 | 1.1\% | \$176,771 | \$2,078 | 1.2\% | 0.1\% |
| OMB | \$167,025 | \$1,666 | 1.0\% | \$168,648 | \$2,050 | 1.2\% | 0.2\% |
| OPM | \$167,543 | \$3,424 | 2.0\% | \$171,502 | \$2,154 | 1.3\% | -0.7\% |
| SBA | \$167,333 | \$2,199 | 1.3\% | \$168,581 | \$1,124 | 0.7\% | -0.6\% |
| SSA | \$169,211 | \$3,216 | 1.9\% | \$172,482 | \$2,963 | 1.7\% | -0.2\% |
| STATE | \$167,807 | \$1,587 | 0.9\% | \$167,935 | \$3,002 | 1.8\% | 0.9\% |
| TRANSPORTATION | \$162,589 | \$3,592 | 2.2\% | \$166,087 | \$3,624 | 2.2\% | 0.0\% |
| TREASURY | \$167,425 | \$4,949 | 3.0\% | \$171,274 | \$3,833 | 2.2\% | -0.8\% |
| VA | \$165,430 | \$1,533 | 0.9\% | \$167,550 | \$1,765 | 1.1\% | 0.2\% |
| ALL OTHERS | \$170,193 | \$2,466 | 1.4\% | \$172,109 | \$2,682 | 1.6\% | 0.2\% |
| GOVERNMENT | \$168,357 | \$2,785 | 1.7\% | \$170,582 | \$2,716 | 1.6\% | -0.1\% |

*Data for Justice does not include FBI-DEA SES members, which are excluded from the SES under 5 U.S.C. 3132(a)(1)

TABLE 5

| Career SES Performance Awards <br> FY 2014 - FY 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 2014 |  | FY 2015 |  | Change in Average Award FY14-FY15 | Percentage <br> Point Change of <br> Career SES <br> Receiving <br> Award <br> FY14-FY15 |
| AGENCY | Average Award | Percent of SES Receiving Award | Average <br> Award | Percent of SES Receiving Award |  |  |
| AGRICULTURE | \$10,109 | 71.6\% | \$10,137 | 82.1\% | \$28 | 10.5\% |
| AID | \$10,355 | 65.4\% | \$12,266 | 68.0\% | \$1,911 | 2.6\% |
| COMMERCE | \$12,177 | 67.2\% | \$11,901 | 69.7\% | -\$276 | 2.5\% |
| DEFENSE | \$10,511 | 76.2\% | \$10,658 | 73.9\% | \$147 | -2.3\% |
| EDUCATION | \$12,800 | 64.4\% | \$12,120 | 64.6\% | -\$680 | 0.2\% |
| ENERGY | \$9,908 | 77.9\% | \$10,581 | 77.8\% | \$673 | -0.1\% |
| EPA | \$11,403 | 66.5\% | \$11,472 | 66.8\% | \$69 | 0.3\% |
| GSA | \$8,509 | 58.8\% | \$8,823 | 60.7\% | \$314 | 1.9\% |
| HHS | \$10,521 | 76.3\% | \$10,568 | 75.4\% | \$47 | -0.9\% |
| DHS | \$9,524 | 82.0\% | \$9,951 | 82.0\% | \$427 | 0.0\% |
| HUD | \$10,599 | 69.1\% | \$10,669 | 75.9\% | \$70 | 6.8\% |
| INTERIOR | \$9,631 | 68.0\% | \$10,137 | 69.1\% | \$506 | 1.1\% |
| JUSTICE* | \$14,600 | 57.9\% | \$14,748 | 55.7\% | \$148 | -2.2\% |
| LABOR | \$10,853 | 70.6\% | \$11,513 | 69.9\% | \$660 | -0.7\% |
| NASA | \$9,949 | 59.8\% | \$10,551 | 57.2\% | \$602 | -2.6\% |
| NRC | \$9,013 | 80.6\% | \$9,316 | 80.3\% | \$303 | -0.3\% |
| NSF | \$15,333 | 44.9\% | \$11,546 | 61.0\% | -\$3,787 | 16.1\% |
| OMB | \$10,625 | 50.0\% | \$10,810 | 46.8\% | \$185 | -3.2\% |
| OPM | \$11,203 | 71.1\% | \$10,147 | 78.7\% | -\$1,056 | 7.6\% |
| SBA | \$13,902 | 44.7\% | \$11,143 | 74.2\% | -\$2,759 | 29.5\% |
| SSA | \$10,875 | 69.3\% | \$10,616 | 69.2\% | -\$259 | -0.1\% |
| STATE | \$8,434 | 47.4\% | \$9,970 | 50.0\% | \$1,536 | 2.6\% |
| TRANSPORTATION | \$9,063 | 87.0\% | \$9,030 | 88.3\% | -\$33 | 1.3\% |
| TREASURY | \$12,109 | 61.5\% | \$13,123 | 63.2\% | \$1,014 | 1.7\% |
| VA | \$9,450 | 36.0\% | \$10,024 | 74.3\% | \$574 | 38.3\% |
| ALL OTHERS | \$9,969 | 73.0\% | \$10,058 | 73.6\% | \$89 | 0.6\% |
| GOVERNMENT | \$10,544 | 68.4\% | \$10,742 | 71.2\% | \$198 | 2.8\% |

[^2]TABLE 6

| Correlation of Career SES Ratings and <br> Compensation Based on Ratings <br> FY 2015 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| AGENCY | Pearson $r$ <br> (Pay) | Pearson $r$ <br> (Awards) |
| AGRICULTURE | 0.311 | 0.675 |
| AID | 0.372 | 0.876 |
| COMMERCE | 0.240 | 0.735 |
| DEFENSE | 0.218 | 0.629 |
| EDUCATION | 0.380 | 0.358 |
| ENERGY | 0.171 | 0.787 |
| EPA | 0.211 | 0.760 |
| GSA | 0.110 | 0.772 |
| HHS | 0.163 | 0.515 |
| DHS | 0.344 | 0.938 |
| HUD | 0.206 | 0.423 |
| INTERIOR | -0.110 | 0.434 |
| JUSTICE* | 0.007 | 0.640 |
| LABOR | 0.078 | 0.650 |
| NASA | -0.085 | 0.448 |
| NSF | 0.315 | 0.598 |
| NRC | 0.448 | 0.850 |
| OMB | 0.142 | 0.373 |
| OPM | 0.243 | 0.582 |
| SBA | 0.161 | 0.198 |
| SSA | 0.440 | 0.483 |
| STATE | 0.105 | 0.679 |
| TRANSPORTATION | 0.505 | 0.727 |
| TREASURY |  |  |
| VA |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Data for Justice does not include FBI-DEA SES members, which are excluded from the SES under
5 U.S.C. 3132(a)(1)

The Pearson correlation coefficient $(\boldsymbol{r})$ is a measure of strength of the relationship between two variables. OPM uses the Pearson $r$ metric as a tool to analyze separately the strength of the relationship between executives' performance ratings and subsequent ratings-based pay adjustments and between ratings and performance awards.

The higher the positive relationship between ratings and pay adjustments and ratings and performance awards the stronger the relationship between the factors. A perfect correlation is represented as (+1). This positive relationship generally indicates an agency is differentiating pay and award amounts based directly on executives' performance ratings, thus ensuring those ratings are the primary basis for determining pay adjustments and performance awards. A high correlation reflects differentiation resulting from executives with higher ratings receiving higher pay adjustments and larger performance awards.

If the relationship is random, the Pearson $r$ will approach zero, indicating there is no relationship between performance ratings and pay adjustments or ratings and performance awards.

A negative coefficient indicates an inverse relationship (i.e., the metric will approach negative one (-1) if high ratings lead to low pay adjustments or smaller performance awards than those received by executives with lower ratings).

In calculating the correlation, OPM uses data submitted by agencies during the annual data call. OPM includes only the data for career executives and does not include awards that are not based on a final summary rating (such as Rank awards or Special Act awards). A correlation coefficient of (.5) represents a desirable threshold for the correlation coefficient because - statistically - it represents a strong relationship between pay adjustments or performance awards and the executive ratings upon which they are based.

The Pearson correlation coefficient is just one tool OPM uses to analyze agency ratings, pay and awards data as OPM recognizes there are environmental factors that may limit agencies' flexibility in distributing pay and awards, making it unrealistic to expect agencies to achieve a perfect positive correlation (+1). At the same time, in some cases a correlation coefficient of more than (.5) may indicate a strong statistical correlation between ratings and performance awards or ratings and pay adjustments while the agency's data still contains areas of concern regarding pay and award differentiation.

TABLE 7

| Performance Awards for Career SES Members as a Percent of Aggregate Compensation FY 2014 - FY 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 2014 |  |  |  | FY 2015 |  |  |  | Percentage <br> Point Change in <br> Performance <br> Award as a <br> Percent of <br> Aggregate FY14 <br> FY15 |
| AGENCY | Total Career Members | Percent <br> Receiving Performance Award | Performance <br> Award <br> Average | Performance Award as a Percent of Aggregate | Total Career Members | Percent <br> Receiving Performance Award | Performance <br> Award <br> Average | Performance Award as a Percent of Aggregate |  |
| AGRICULTURE | 328 | 71.6\% | \$10,109 | 4.0\% | 308 | 82.1\% | \$10,137 | 4.5\% | 0.5\% |
| AID | 26 | 65.4\% | \$10,355 | 4.1\% | 25 | 68.0\% | \$12,266 | 4.5\% | 0.4\% |
| COMMERCE | 256 | 67.2\% | \$12,177 | 4.5\% | 274 | 69.7\% | \$11,901 | 4.5\% | 0.0\% |
| DEFENSE | 1,101 | 76.2\% | \$10,511 | 4.5\% | 1,154 | 73.9\% | \$10,658 | 4.4\% | -0.1\% |
| EDUCATION | 59 | 64.4\% | \$12,800 | 4.5\% | 65 | 64.6\% | \$12,120 | 4.2\% | -0.3\% |
| ENERGY | 375 | 77.9\% | \$9,908 | 4.2\% | 387 | 77.8\% | \$10,581 | 4.4\% | 0.2\% |
| EPA | 239 | 66.5\% | \$11,403 | 4.2\% | 241 | 66.8\% | \$11,472 | 4.2\% | 0.0\% |
| GSA | 80 | 58.8\% | \$8,509 | 2.9\% | 89 | 60.7\% | \$8,823 | 3.1\% | 0.2\% |
| HHS | 333 | 76.3\% | \$10,521 | 4.4\% | 346 | 75.4\% | \$10,568 | 4.3\% | -0.1\% |
| DHS | 511 | 82.0\% | \$9,524 | 4.3\% | 529 | 82.0\% | \$9,951 | 4.5\% | 0.2\% |
| HUD | 81 | 69.1\% | \$10,599 | 3.9\% | 87 | 75.9\% | \$10,669 | 4.3\% | 0.4\% |
| INTERIOR | 200 | 68.0\% | \$9,631 | 3.7\% | 204 | 69.1\% | \$10,137 | 3.9\% | 0.2\% |
| JUSTICE* | 378 | 57.9\% | \$14,600 | 4.6\% | 361 | 55.7\% | \$14,748 | 4.4\% | -0.2\% |
| LABOR | 143 | 70.6\% | \$10,853 | 4.2\% | 146 | 69.9\% | \$11,513 | 4.3\% | 0.1\% |
| NASA | 420 | 59.8\% | \$9,949 | 3.2\% | 421 | 57.2\% | \$10,551 | 3.3\% | 0.1\% |
| NRC | 144 | 80.6\% | \$9,013 | 4.1\% | 147 | 80.3\% | \$9,316 | 4.2\% | 0.1\% |
| NSF | 78 | 44.9\% | \$15,333 | 3.7\% | 82 | 61.0\% | \$11,546 | 3.8\% | 0.1\% |
| OMB | 64 | 50.0\% | \$10,625 | 3.0\% | 62 | 46.8\% | \$10,810 | 2.8\% | -0.2\% |
| OPM | 45 | 71.1\% | \$11,203 | 4.4\% | 47 | 78.7\% | \$10,147 | 4.3\% | -0.1\% |
| SBA | 47 | 44.7\% | \$13,902 | 3.5\% | 31 | 74.2\% | \$11,143 | 4.5\% | 1.0\% |
| SSA | 140 | 69.3\% | \$10,875 | 4.2\% | 143 | 69.2\% | \$10,616 | 4.0\% | -0.2\% |
| STATE | 156 | 47.4\% | \$8,434 | 2.3\% | 150 | 50.0\% | \$9,970 | 2.8\% | 0.5\% |
| TRANSPORTATION | 184 | 87.0\% | \$9,063 | 4.5\% | 188 | 88.3\% | \$9,030 | 4.4\% | -0.1\% |
| TREASURY | 400 | 61.5\% | \$12,109 | 4.1\% | 402 | 63.2\% | \$13,123 | 4.5\% | 0.4\% |
| VA | 350 | 36.0\% | \$9,450 | 2.0\% | 335 | 74.3\% | \$10,024 | 4.2\% | 2.2\% |
| ALL OTHERS | 667 | 73.0\% | \$9,969 | 4.0\% | 671 | 73.6\% | \$10,058 | 4.0\% | 0.0\% |
| GOVERNMENT | 6,805 | 68.4\% | \$10,544 | 4.0\% | 6,895 | 71.2\% | \$10,742 | 4.2\% | 0.2\% |

[^3]| Appendix <br> Guide to Agency Acronyms and Titles Used in this Report |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| AGENCY | Name of Agency |
| Agriculture | Department of Agriculture |
| AID | U.S. Agency for International Development |
| Commerce | Department of Commerce |
| DEA | Drug Enforcement Administration |
| Defense | Department of Defense |
| Education | Department of Education |
| Energy | Department of Energy |
| EPA | Environmental Protection Agency |
| FBI | Federal Bureau of Investigation |
| GSA | General Services Administration |
| HHS | Department of Health and Human Services |
| DHS | Department of Homeland Security |
| HUD | Department of Housing and Urban Development |
| Interior | Department of the Interior |
| Justice | Department of Justice |
| Labor | Department of Labor |
| NASA | National Aeronautics and Space Administration |
| NRC | Nuclear Regulatory Commission |
| NSF | National Science Foundation |
| OMB | Office of Management and Budget |
| OPM | Office of Personnel Management |
| SBA | Small Business Administration |
| SSA | Social Security Administration |
| State | Department of State |
| Transportation | Department of Transportation |
| Treasury | Department of the Treasury |
| VA | Department of Veterans Affairs |



# U.S. Office of Personnel Management 
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[^0]:    *Data for Justice does not include FBI-DEA SES members, which are excluded from the SES under 5 U.S.C. 3132(a)(1)

[^1]:    *Data for Justice does not include FBI-DEA SES members, which are excluded from the SES under 5 U.S.C. 3132(a)(1)

[^2]:    *Data for Justice does not include FBI-DEA SES members, which are excluded from the SES under 5 U.S.C. 3132(a)(1)

[^3]:    *Data for Justice does not include FBI-DEA SES members, which are excluded from the SES under 5 U.S.C. 3132(a)(1)

